

TOP SECRET

Approved For Release 2001/08/29 : CIA-RDP33-02415A000200440003-7

CONTROL NO. Complete Set (xeroxed)

NRO 25X1A of [REDACTED]

Attach. to [REDACTED]

REFERRED TO OFFICE	RECEIVED			RELEASED		SEEN BY	
	SIGNATURE	DATE	TIME	DATE	TIME	NAME & OFFICE SYMBOL	DATE
D/OSA							

## NRO DECLASSIFICATION/RELEASE INSTRUCTIONS ON FILE

Handle Via Indicated Controls

[REDACTED] NRO 25X1A

Access to this document will be restricted to those persons  
cleared for the specific projects;

.....

.....

### WARNING

This document contains information affecting the national security of the United States within the meaning of the espionage laws U. S. Code Title 18, Sections 793 and 794. The law prohibits its transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person, as well as its use in any manner prejudicial to the safety or interest of the United States or for the benefit of any foreign government to the detriment of the United States. It is to be seen only by personnel especially indoctrinated and authorized to receive information in the designated control channels. Its security must be maintained in accordance with regulations pertaining to [REDACTED] Control System.

NRO 25X1A

TOP SECRET

GROUP 1  
Excluded from automatic  
downgrading and declassification

**TOP SECRET**

HANDLE VIA [REDACTED]

CONTROL SYSTEM [REDACTED]

NRO 25X1A [REDACTED]

MAR 10 1969

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE DIRECTOR OF CIA RECONNAISSANCE PROGRAMS

SUBJECT: FY 1970 Financial Program/FY 1971 Budget Recommendations

Guidance for the formulation of FY 1970 Financial Program and FY 1971 Budget recommendations for those NRO accounts involving CIA responsibility is contained in the attachments hereto.

Also attached, for your information, is a copy of guidance to the Director, Program D, on Senior Year and A-12 Aircraft in Storage, which are pertinent to your responsibilities.

The FY 1970 Financial Program recommendations are to be submitted by May 5, 1969, in one complete copy each for the DNRO, NRO Comptroller, and NRO Staff. An extract copy of the [REDACTED] General R&D (Aircraft), "Advanced Aircraft" R&D, Applied Research/Advanced Technology (Satellites) and Vulnerability (Satellites) estimates is to be furnished to [REDACTED]

NRO 25X1A

NRO 25X1A

NRO 25X1A [REDACTED]

Guidance for the FY 1972 thru 1975 planning estimates will be furnished in Fall 1969.

Signed

ALEXANDER H. FLAX  
Director

Attachments

- Series C*
7. D/Ops with atts.
  8. D/SA with atts.
  9. D.O/SA with atts.
  1. D-R+D
  2. D/Material
  3. 4- Finance

[REDACTED] RO 25X1A

EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC REGRADING;  
EOB DIL. 5210.10 DOES NOT APPLY

NRO 25X1A

5-6- C/Contracts

HANDLE VIA [REDACTED]

**TOP SECRET**

NRO 25X1A [REDACTED]

PAGES

U-2R Investment:

A. This account is limited to U-2R investment costs only, including new or replacement equipment. No costs for test, operational or training support are to be included in this account.

B. The recommended program is to include equipment requirements for both the Agency and SAC. The Agency is to compile and submit the equipment requirements, with the cooperation and coordination of Director D.

C. For each recommended item of equipment, furnish gross quantities proposed (distinguish between Agency and SAC requirements, assets of this item on hand or on order (if applicable), anticipated development status (if applicable), reasons why the equipment is needed, possible substitutes from existing assets and why they are not considered adequate, and any other pertinent information. For purposes of distinguishing between Agency and SAC requirements, it will be assumed that 6 U-2R's each will be initially allocated to the Agency and SAC, that U-2R attrition will be replaced from U-2 C/G assets, and that no transfers of U-2R's will be effected between the Agency and SAC.

D. F.Y. 1970 Financial Program Recommendations:

1. In addition to the data required under preceding paragraph "C," furnish the recommended basic quantities and their unit cost and total cost, spare equipments quantities and total cost, spare parts costs, AGE quantities and their unit and total cost, test equipment quantities and costs, flight test costs, etc. (as applicable) for each recommended item of equipment.

2. It has been noted that in the past, recommended quantities have usually been based on 12 U-2R's, and have not considered forecast attrition and expected aircraft inventories at the time the equipment would be delivered. Accordingly, equipment delivery times are to be matched to expected U-2R aircraft inventories in determining recommended quantities of equipment.

3. On Countermeasures items recommended for procurement, specifically identify any relationships and assumptions with the [REDACTED] account. NRO 25X1A

E. F.Y. 1971 Budget Recommendations:

Furnish similar data to that required for the F.Y. 1970 Financial Program Recommendations, recognizing that recommended quantities should be influenced by one more year of forecast U-2R attrition.

TOP SECRET HANDLE  
CONTROL SYSTEM

IDEALIST: (Agency)

A. As covering material for the FY 1970 Financial Program/FY 1971 Budget recommendations, at least the following information is to be furnished:

1. A tabulation of the actual aircraft inventories, distinguishing between U-2C/G and U-2R aircraft by location, at 30 June 1968 and at time of estimate preparation, and as forecast for 30 June 1969, 30 June 1970, and 30 June 1971.

2. A table of flying hours (broken down between operations, ferry, test and training by Detachment) by tail number aircraft, as actually accomplished in each quarter of FY 1968, the first three quarters of FY 1969, and as forecast for the last quarter of FY 1969. For FY 1970 and FY 1971, provide forecast flying hours by quarter, considering attrition, any aircraft out for IRAN, etc., again distinguishing between operational, ferry, test, and training hours by U-2C/G and U-2R; these need not be by tail number.

3. A table of actual IRAN's and time in IRAN for the first three quarters of FY 1969, forecast for the fourth quarter of FY 1969, and forecast for each quarter of FY 1970 and FY 1971.

4. Narrative material on significant over-all operational objectives and significant requirements considerations.

B. The Ex Com decisions on 13 November 1968 set the basic parameters for the U-2 programs. These were:

1. The allocation of U-2R's would be 6 to CIA and 6 to SAC.

2. U-2C/G aircraft would be placed in flyable storage until needed to individually replace U-2R attrition, thereby maintaining a total of 6 U-2's each for CIA and SAC.

3. Those U-2C/G's that replace U-2R attrition would be used for non-operational missions and operational missions where vulnerability is not an important factor.

4. That the aircraft allocations between the Agency and SAC should not be considered as inflexible, and should be subject to change if circumstances warrant.

5. In addition, Air Force Systems Command would fly 3 U-2A/F's, subject to attrition without replacement.

C. As supplementary guidance to these decisions, the following will apply:

1. The U-2C/G's should not be placed in flyable storage until after all U-2R's have been delivered and operating. This should place the storage

NRO 25X1A

NRO 25X1A

TOP SECRET

HANDLE VIA

CONTROL SYSTEM

TOP SECRET  
CONTROL SYSTEM

actions in the 4th quarter of FY 1969, and to be completed by 30 June 1969.

2. Storage of CIA U-2C/G's will be "in place," rather than in a special storage facility. "In place" in this connection is intended to cover location either at the present base or at another CIA base having U-2's.

3. "Flyable storage" is intended to include preventive maintenance, periodic checks on installed equipments, and the maintenance of adequate spares. However, the degree of effort and readiness should vary by stored aircraft. For example, if U-2R attrition is forecast at 1 per year, one U-2C/G should be maintained ready to fly when attrition occurs; a second U-2C/G can be in a lesser state of readiness at the same time, because theoretically not needed for at least a year later; and a third U-2C/G can be in an even lower state of readiness at the same time, because theoretically not needed for at least two years later; etc. As the first U-2C/G is removed from storage to replace U-2R attrition, then all other C/G's would move up in effort priority. These distinctions are made because CIA in [REDACTED] RO 25X1A dated 21 January 1969 recommended that one ground crew be retained for maintaining the stored U-2C/G aircraft in flyable condition rather than relying on the use of U-2R support personnel. The objective should be to not assign full-time contractor to the stored aircraft, nor generally contractor technical representatives. Specific exceptions may be proposed on the basis of the preceding guidance.

4. If new or replacement equipments are authorized by the DNRO for U-2C/G's, generally all U-2C/G aircraft should be retrofitted, to provide equal capabilities and maintain standardization.

5. Existing spares assets for U-2C/G's should be retained. New procurements of spares for U-2C/G's should be limited by U-2R attrition and lead time considerations; i.e. spares should generally be bought only to the extent that the C/G's are expected to be a part of the 6 U-2 total inventory for each of CIA and SAC. In view of the maintenance readiness degrees outlined in para. 3 preceding, it may be appropriate to use on-hand spares for the "2nd, 3rd, etc." U-2C/G aircraft to support the 1st when withdrawn from storage for a reasonable period, to minimize small orders, and place larger orders periodically as stocks are reduced.

6. Inasmuch as all U-2C/G's are to be retained for replacement of U-2R aircraft as they are attrited, and also for subsequent replacement of these operating C/G's as they are attrited, no U-2C/G's are to be considered as available for transfer to other users. However, utilization of these aircraft to meet requirements of other government organizations may be arranged on a cost-reimbursement basis, subject to DNRO advance approval.

7. Also in [REDACTED] CIA recommended that Detachment G be authorized to operate one U-2C/G aircraft for test support. It is considered that this is a force change from the Ex Com approval, and is not to be a part of the

NRO 25X1A

NRO 25X1A

TOP SECRET

CONTROL SYSTEM

"basic" program for the FY 1970 Financial Program/FY 1971 Budget recommendations. It may be included in addendum proposals, subject to possible Ex Com review of the force change. If proposed, it must be substantiated by specific reasons, planned utilization, costs, and why the U-2R's can not accomplish the test programs.

D. F.Y. 1970 Financial Program Recommendations:

1. The cost recommendations for FY 1970 are to be organized in three separate parts: (a) the "basic" program, covering the costs of U-2R's and U-2C/G's related to a total of 6 U-2's operating and remaining C/G's in Flyable storage, (b) the added costs of contractor crew personnel, tech reps, and any other costs related to the proposed assignment of personnel specifically to the U-2C/G stored aircraft (reference para. C3 preceding), (c) any addendum proposals, such as the addition of 1 operating U-2C/G for test purposes (reference para. C7 preceding).

2. The costs to be submitted under this account are to be arranged under headings of Airframe Support, Camera Support, Airborne Electronics Support, Pilot-Related, Construction Maintenance, Operations and Maintenance, Field Service Support, and any other proposed categories related to Idealist Peculiar Support (such as new construction).

3. Under these headings, submit line item detail similar to that furnished for the FY 1970 Budget, being certain to submit not only the line item costs, but also numbers or explanations as appropriate (numbers by type of cameras, electronics equipments, pilots, tech reps, etc.).

E. F.Y. 1971 Budget Recommendations:

Submit FY 1971 Budget recommendations in similar detail and coverage as for the FY 1970 Financial Program, recognizing change in the U-2R/U-2C/G average operational inventory mix resulting from forecast attrition.

NRO 25X1A

NRO 25X1A

TOP SECRET

HANDLE VIA

CONTROL SYSTEM

IDEALIST/SENIOR YEAR COMMON: (Agency)

A. The Agency is to compile and submit, in cooperation and coordination with Director D, the Idealist/Senior Year Common FY 1970 Financial Program and FY 1971 Budget recommendations for the Agency and SAC/AFSC combined. Guidance for the Idealist portion of the program is included under the Idealist account, and an information copy of the guidance for the Senior Year portion is attached.

B. F.Y. 1970 Financial Program Recommendations:

1. The cost recommendations for FY 1970 are to be organized in three separate parts: (a) the "basic" program, covering the costs of U-2R's, U-2C/G's, and U-2A/G's related to a total of 6 U-2's operating for CIA, 6 U-2's operating for SAC and the average inventory of U-2A/F's operating for AFSC, with remaining C/G's in flyable storage; (b) the added costs of contractor personnel, tech reps, and any other costs related to the proposed assignment of personnel specifically to CIA and SAC stored aircraft; and (c) any addendum proposals, such as the addition of any operating C/G's for Agency or SAC.

2. The costs to be submitted under this account are to be arranged under headings of Airframe Support, Camera Support, Airborne Electronics Support, Pilot-Related and any other proposed categories related to Common support.

3. Under these headings, submit line item detail similar to that furnished for the FY 1970 Budget, being certain to submit not only the line item costs, but also numbers or explanations as appropriate (numbers by type of cameras, electronics equipments, etc.). No distinctions between Agency and SAC/AFSC costs are necessary, but quantity distinctions should be made.

C. F.Y. 1971 Budget Recommendations:

Submit FY 1971 Budget recommendations in similar detail and coverage as for the FY 1970 Financial Program, recognizing changes in the U-2R/U-2C/G average operational inventory mixes resulting from forecast attrition.

NRO 25X1A

NRO 25X1A

PAGE 32 OF 32 PAGES

TOP SECRET

CONTROL SYSTEM

TOP SECRET

Approved For Release 2001/08/29 : CIA-RDP33-

CONTROL SYSTEM

NRO 25X1A

440003-7

FORTUNE COOKIE:

A. On the basis of Ex Com approval for FY 1969 initiation of this drone program for the U-2's, the Agency is to provide material on the principal requirements assumptions for the cost estimates, such as the planned operational activity related to proposed quantities. In the event SAC also has a requirement for this drone, the Agency is to include both Agency and SAC under this account, in cooperation and coordination with Director D.

B. Any related Countermeasure R&D proposed funding is to be included under this account, rather than [REDACTED] NRO 25X1A

C. F.Y. 1970 Financial Program Recommendations:

Submit line entry requirements and costs in meaningful detail, such as quantity and costs of drone procurements, cost of drone mods, Elint system quantities and costs, test support, number and costs of technicians, spares costs, etc.

D. F.Y. 1971 Budget Recommendations:

Submit similar detail as that required for the F.Y. 1970 Financial Program Recommendations.

NRO 25X1A

Approved For Release 2001/08/29 : CIA-RDP

HANDLE VIA

00200470003-7  
PAGE 11 OF 14 PAGES

TOP SECRET

CONTROL SYSTEM

NRO 25X1A



CONTROL SYSTEM

25X1A6a

OPERATIONS: (Agency)

A. This is a new account, established in recognition of those portions of Scope Cotton Decision No. 21 related to continued operation of [REDACTED] 25X1A6a and is to cover only the costs of the base-line Posture III, inasmuch as costs beyond this effort are to be paid by using customers and are difficult to forecast.

B. F.Y. 1970 Financial Program Recommendations:

1. CIA is to compile and submit, in cooperation and coordination with Director D, the total costs for Posture III, identifying line entry costs, such as numbers and costs of staff personnel, military personnel, Agency contract personnel, and REECO personnel, housing costs, utilities costs, etc. It will be assumed that dividing this total by 3 will represent the "shares" applicable to the NRP, the Air Force, and CIA.

NRO 25X1A

2. In the event the total cost exceeds the [REDACTED] presented to the DNRO on 16 December 1968, specific explanation is to be made for the cost increase.

C. F.Y. 1971 Budget Recommendations:

Submit FY 1971 Budget recommendations in similar detail and coverage as for the FY 1970 Financial Program.

NRO 25X1A

NRO 25X1A

CONTROL SYSTEM

PHOTOGRAPHIC MATERIALS AND PROCESSINGA. FY 1970 Financial Program Recommendations:

## 1. Photographic Processing:

a. Provide proposed number of personnel and costs for each of the production coordination, processing and reproduction of black and white missions, color processing and reproduction, film evaluation and testing laboratory, operational improvement, maintenance support, technical assistance, community support, security, G&A and fee, and any other pertinent categories.

b. If increases above the FY 1969 approved levels are recommended for any of the preceding categories, provide narrative justification for the increases.

## 2. Film and Chemicals (SATELLITES):

NRO 25X1A

a. Provide tabulations of assumed numbers of missions, total rolls required by film type, and costs for film for CORONA and [REDACTED]. In this connection, present planning calls for six CORONA launches and [REDACTED] launches in FY 1970. Provide the basis and costs for [REDACTED] film (no launches are planned in FY 1970).

NRO 25X1A

NRO 25X1A

b. Assume three CORONA missions in FY 70 with UTB and three with STB black and white film.

c. Provide the cost and basis for color effort, chemicals, and R&D separately. For planning purposes, assume 2,000 ft. of color materials on each CORONA mission and 200 ft. of color on each [REDACTED] mission.

25X1A

## 3. Film and Chemicals (Aircraft and Miscellaneous):

Provide tabulations of assumed numbers of missions, total rolls required by film type, and costs for film separately for Agency U-2's and SAC U-2's. Identify the basis and costs for USNR and TSC effort, duplicating film chemicals, emergency reserve, and any other proposed categories.

## 4. Photographic Processing and Reproduction Equipment:

Provide listings of the types of equipment, quantities of each type, costs of each type, and reasons for the recommended procurements, distinguishing between equipment to be furnished to [REDACTED] and that to be furnished to AFSPPF (Westover). Spare parts should be separately identified. This program should reflect equipments needed to insure a viable backup capability at AFSPPF. Also, resubmit color processor needs for reconsideration.

25X1A5a1

NRO 25X1A

CONTROL SYSTEM

TOP SECRET

NRO 25X1A 5. Facilities:

NRO 25X1A

NRO 25X1A Requirements for expansion and/or rearrangement of facilities, such as for support, are subject to specific justification, with lists of items and costs.

B. FY 1971 Budget Recommendations:

NRO 25X1A

NRO 25X1A Similar data to that specified for the FY 1970 Financial Program Recommendations will be required. In the case of satellite support, present planning calls for four CORONA launches in FY 1971, and NRO 25X1A For planning purposes in FY 71, assume one of the CORONA cameras on each mission as all color and 400 ft. of color on each. Also NRO 25X1A assume that UTB will be used on all CORONA black and white coverage as is presently accomplished on NRO 25X1A

NRO 25X1A

NRO 25X1A

TOP SECRET

HANDLE VIA

CONTROL SYSTEM

## CONTROL SYSTEM

AIRBORNE COLLECTION/COUNTERMEASURES

NRO 25X1A

A. F.Y. 1970 Financial Program Recommendations:

1. The FY 1970 financial program recommendations are to be submitted under at least the categories of Parameter Measurements, Prototype Defensive CM Equipment, R&D of Defensive Equipment, and Field Test Support. No provision was made in the FY 1970 Ex Com Budget for Infrared Parameter Measurements nor for Airborne Radar Cross Section Measurements, altho this does not necessarily preclude financial program recommendations.

2. Elint R&D for Fortune Cookie is to be excluded from this account, and reflected under Fortune Cookie, if proposed.

3. Under each category, line item recommendations are to be furnished, describing planned efforts, specific applications, anticipated results, and what and why equipment would be replaced, as applicable. Costs are to reflect the FY 1970 recommendation, any prior year funding by fiscal year, and any subsequent year funding by fiscal year to complete the effort cost, as applicable.

4. If proposed projects cover efforts outside of the NRP responsibilities (such as System 9E funding by the SR-71 aircraft program), separate specific identification and justification will be required.

5. A required submission for the FY 1970 financial program is one that does not exceed the [REDACTED] included in the Ex Com Budget for [REDACTED] NRO 25X1A. An optional submission may be made which exceeds this amount, if the Director of CIA Reconnaissance Programs considers such submission to be appropriate.

B. F.Y. 1971 Budget Recommendations:

Submit similar detail as that required for the F.Y. 1970 Financial Program Recommendations. In this instance, a dollar limitation does not apply.

NRO 25X1A

NRO 25X1A

**TOP SECRET**

BARBLE VIA

General R&D (Aircraft)

A. F.Y. 1970 Financial Program Recommendations:

1. Line item recommendations are to be submitted, describing planned efforts, specific applications, and anticipated results. Costs for each line item are to reflect the FY 1970 recommendation, any prior year funding by fiscal year, and any subsequent year funding by fiscal year to complete the effort cost, as applicable.

2. A required submission for the FY 1970 financial program is one that does not exceed the [REDACTED] included in the Ex Com Budget for this account. An optional submission may be made which exceeds this amount, if the Director of CIA Reconnaissance Programs considers such submission to be appropriate.

3. "Advanced Aircraft" R&D will be included in a separate account, rather than under General R&D.

B. F.Y. 1971 Budget Recommendations:

Submit similar detail as that required for the F.Y. 1970 Financial Program Recommendations. In this instance, a dollar limitation does not apply.

NRO 25X1A

NRO 25X1A

"Advanced Aircraft" R&D

A. F.Y. 1970 Financial Program Recommendations:

1. The Ex Com approved \$500K in the FY 1970 Budget for continuation of concept studies. A required submission is one that does not exceed this amount. Provide narrative data on study status at time of estimate preparation against FY 1969 funding, FY 1970 study objectives, and any other pertinent information.

2. An optional submission may be made which exceeds this amount, if the Director of CIA Reconnaissance Programs considers such submission to be appropriate.

B. F.Y. 1971 Budget Recommendations:

1. Submit supporting data and costs for efforts as extensions from \$500K funding in each of fiscal years 1969 and 1970. Also provide estimated costs by effort category for each of FY 1972 thru 1975.

2. If an optional submission for FY 1970 is made, provide supporting data and costs by effort category for each of FY 1971 thru 1975.

SENIOR YEAR: (Director D)

A. As covering material for the FY 1970 Financial Program/FY 1971 Budget recommendations, at least the following information is to be furnished:

1. A tabulation of the actual aircraft inventories, distinguishing between U-2C/G and U-2R aircraft by location, at 30 June 1968 and at time of estimate preparation, and as forecast for 30 June 1969, 30 June 1970, and 30 June 1971.

2. A table of flying hours (broken down between operations, training and any other pertinent category) by tail number aircraft, as actually accomplished in each quarter of FY 1968, the first three quarters of FY 1969, and as forecast for the last quarter of FY 1969. For FY 1970 and FY 1971, provide forecast flying hours by quarter, considering attrition, any aircraft out for IRAN, etc., again distinguishing between operational, training and any other pertinent hours by U-2C/G and U-2R; these need not be by tail number.

3. A table of actual IRAN's and time in IRAN for the first three quarters of FY 1969, forecast for the fourth quarter of FY 1969, and forecast for each quarter of FY 1970 and FY 1971.

4. Narrative material on significant over-all objectives and significant requirements considerations.

B. The Ex Com decisions on 13 November 1968 set the basic parameters for the U-2 programs. These were:

1. The allocation of U-2R's would be 6 to CIA and 6 to SAC.

2. U-2C/G aircraft would be placed in flyable storage until needed to individually replace U-2R attrition, thereby maintaining a total of 6 U-2's each for CIA and SAC.

3. Those U-2C/G's that replace U-2R attrition would be used for non-operational missions and operational missions where vulnerability is not an important factor.

4. That the aircraft allocations between the Agency and SAC should not be considered as inflexible, and should be subject to change if circumstances warrant.

5. In addition, Air Force Systems Command would fly 3 U-2A/F's, subject to attrition without replacement.

NRO 25X1A

PAGE 4 OF 22 PA. ES

C. As supplementary guidance to these decisions, the following will apply:

1. The U-2C/G's should not be placed in flyable storage until after all U-2R's have been delivered and operating. This should place the storage actions in the 4th quarter of FY 1969, and to be completed by 30 June 1969.
2. Storage of SAC U-2C/G's will be "in place," rather than in a special storage facility. "In place" in this connection is intended to cover location either at the present base or at another SAC base having U-2's.
3. "Flyable storage" is intended to include preventive maintenance, periodic checks on installed equipments, and the maintenance of adequate spares. However, the degree of effort and readiness should vary by stored aircraft. For example, if U-2R attrition is forecast at 1 per year, one U-2C/G should be maintained ready to fly when attrition occurs; a second U-2C/G can be in a lesser state of readiness at the same time, because theoretically not needed for at least a year later; and a third U-2C/G can be in a even lower state of readiness at the same time, because theoretically not needed for at least two years later; etc. As the first U-2C/G is removed from storage to replace U-2R attrition, then all other C/G's would move up in effort priority. These distinctions are made to assist in personnel and workload determinations.
4. If new or replacement equipments are authorized by the DNRO for U-2C/G's, generally all U-2C/G aircraft should be retrofitted, to provide equal capabilities and maintain standardization.
5. Existing spares assets for U-2C/G's should be retained. New procurements of spares for U-2C/G's should be limited by U-2R attrition and lead time considerations; i.e. spares should generally be bought only to the extent that the C/G's are expected to be a part of the 6 U-2 total inventory for each of CIA and SAC. In view of the maintenance readiness degrees outlined in para. 3 preceding, it may be appropriate to use on-hand spares for the "2nd, 3rd, etc.," U-2C/G aircraft to support the 1st when withdrawn from storage for a reasonable period, to minimize small orders, and place larger orders periodically as stocks are reduced.
6. Inasmuch as all U-2C/G's are to be retained for replacement of U-2R aircraft as they are attrited, and also for subsequent replacement of these operating C/G's as they are attrited, no U-2C/G's are to be considered as available for transfer to other users. However, utilization of these aircraft to meet requirements of other government organizations may be arranged on a cost-reimbursement basis, subject to DNRO advance approval.
7. Any proposals to increase the operating U-2 level of 6 for SAC, such as utilizing a U-2C/G for transitional training will be considered as a force change from the Ex Com approval, and is not to be a part of the "basic"

NRO 25X1A

NRO 25X1A



Approved For Release 2001/08/29 : CIA-RDP33-02415A000200440003-7

program for the FY 1970 Financial Program/FY 1971 Budget recommendations. They may be included in addendum proposals, subject to possible Ex Com review of the force change. If proposed, the requirement must be substantiated by specific reasons, planned utilization, costs to the NRP, and why the U-2R's can not accomplish the requirement.

D. F.Y. 1970 Financial Program Recommendations:

1. The cost recommendations for FY 1970 are to be organized in three separate parts: (a) the "basic" program, covering the costs of U-2R's and U-2C/G's related to a total of 6 U-2's operating and remaining C/G's in flyable storage, (b) the added costs of contractor personnel, tech reps, and any other costs related to the proposed assignment of personnel specifically to the U-2C/G stored aircraft (reference para. C3 preceding), (c) any addendum proposals, such as the addition of 1 operating U-2C/G for training purposes (reference para. C7 preceding).

2. The costs to be submitted under this account are to be arranged under headings of Airframe Support, Camera Support, Airborne Electronics Support, Field Service Support, and any other proposed categories related to Senior Year Peculiar Support.

3. Under these headings, submit line item detail similar to that furnished for the FY 1970 Budget, being certain to submit not only the line item costs, but also numbers or explanations as appropriate (numbers by type of cameras, electronics equipments, tech reps, etc.).

E. F.Y. 1971 Budget Recommendations:

Submit FY 1971 Budget recommendations in similar detail and coverage as for the FY 1970 Financial Program, recognizing change in the U-2R/U-2C/G average operational inventory mix resulting from forecast attrition.

NRO 25X1A

PAGE 15 OF 26 PAGES

Approved For Release 2001/08/29 : CIA-RDP33-02415A000200440003-7

CONTROL SYSTEM

NRO 25X1A

A-12 Aircraft in Storage: (Director D)

A. This is a new account, established in recognition of the paragraph 1 portion of Scope Cotton Decision No. 21, as related to in-storage costs for the A-12 aircraft at Palmdale, under Lockheed contract. The Director, Program D, will have in-storage management responsibility for not only the A-12 aircraft but also all related authorized assets at Palmdale, the [REDACTED] or elsewhere, including the responsibility for insuring that funding and budgeting for non-NRP costs (government personnel, house-keeping support, etc.) is appropriate. The Director of CIA Reconnaissance programs will be responsible for contracting with Lockheed for the NRP costs.

B. F.Y. 1970 Financial Program Recommendations:

1. The Director, Program D, is to compile and submit, in cooperation and coordination with the Director of CIA Reconnaissance Programs, the costs of a Lockheed contract for the in-storage costs. This may include the costs of tech reps from other companies needed for periodic inspections or minor preventive maintenance.

2. Cost estimates are to be in reasonable detail, such as numbers, types, and costs of company personnel, materials, etc.

C. F.Y. 1971 Budget Recommendations:

Submit FY 1971 Budget recommendations in similar detail and coverage as for the FY 1970 Financial Program.

NRO 25X1A

NRO 25X1A

TOP SECRET  
CONTROL SYSTEMCORONA: (Agency)

A. The approved launch schedule for costing is:

	<u>FY 1970</u>	<u>FY 1971</u>	<u>FY 1972</u>
Launches	6	4	0

B. Based on previously-authorized CORONA procurements, this schedule would result in two CORONA reserve systems available for launch if needed.

C. Any mapping and charting missions will be within the total number of CORONA launches reflected by fiscal year.

D. A reserve of six systems (five at R-38 and one at R-15) should be maintained during FY 1970, with four to be launched in FY 1971 according to the preceding schedule. The remaining two reserves will be maintained at R-38 for the balance of FY 1971. If during FY 1969 or 1970 the number of actual launches exceeds the planned rate, the number of reserve systems can be reduced to an offsetting extent. If during FY 1969 or 1970 the number of actual launches is less than the planned rate, the "carryover" reserves would be increased accordingly.

E. CIA is to insure compatibility of program and schedule assumptions with those used by SAFSP. Some launches during this time period will be without DISIC; others are expected to carry [REDACTED]. The schedule does not in itself contemplate "improvements," other than for previously-approved extended life and [REDACTED] adaptability. However, in the event "improvement" efforts are recommended, narrative justification and costs will be required as separately-identified line items.

F. F.Y. 1970 Financial Program Recommendations:

1. FY 1970 Financial Program recommendations are to be in a format similar to the stub breakdowns currently furnished in the special monthly reports. Separately identify the costs of hardware and support, and specifically identify any allowances for "contingencies."

2. Furnish an information copy of the estimate submission to SAFSP.

G. F.Y. 1971 Budget Recommendations:

1. FY 1971 Budget recommendations are to be in similar detail as that for the FY 1970 Financial Program, with an information copy to SAFSP.

2. Submit as a separate identification any support costs which could be avoided if there were no launches in the 4th Quarter of FY 1971.

NRO 25X1A

NRO 25X1A

Approved For Release 2001/08/29 : CIA-RDP33-02415A000200440003-7

**Next 4 Page(s) In Document Exempt**

Approved For Release 2001/08/29 : CIA-RDP33-02415A000200440003-7

CONTROL SYSTEM

APPLIED RESEARCH/ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY (Satellites) (Agency)

## A. General

FY 1970 Financial Program and FY 1971 Budget recommendations will be submitted in sufficient detail to provide meaningful estimates for the tasks proposed. Each task identified will include a brief narrative description of the goals, general approach, status, and contractors involved, with identification by task of FY 1969 and prior funds applied by fiscal year, FY 1970 costs, FY 1971 costs, and forecast costs to completion of the task by fiscal year, as applicable. In addition, supplementary cost data is to be furnished with the FY 1971 Budget, to reflect costs for FY 1972 thru 1975 for each proposed task, as applicable.

## B. General R&amp;D

1. A required submission for FY 1970 is one that does not exceed \$1,475K for this category in the Ex Com-approved FY 1970 Budget.
2. An optional submission for FY 1970 exceeding this amount may be made, if the Director of CIA Reconnaissance Programs considers such submission to be appropriate.
3. The FY 1971 Budget recommendation should be made as considered appropriate by the Director of CIA Reconnaissance Programs.



NRO 25X1A

TOP SECRET

CONTROL SYSTEM

CONTROL SYSTEM

VULNERABILITY (Satellites) (Agency)

A. F.Y. 1970 Financial Program Recommendations:

1. Furnish specific descriptions of the proposed efforts, the anticipated results, and the costs of each effort. Distinguish between efforts continuing from FY 1969, and new efforts proposed for initiation in FY 1970.

2. A required submission is one that does not exceed the [REDACTED] NRO 25X1A included in the FY 1970 Ex Com-approved budget. An optional submission may be made which exceeds this amount, if the Director of CIA Reconnaissance Programs considers such submission to be appropriate.

B. F.Y. 1971 Budget Recommendations:

Submit similar material as required for the FY <sup>1970</sup>~~1969~~ Financial Program.

NRO 25X1A

TOP SECRET  
CONTROL SYSTEM

NRO 25X1A

[REDACTED] Support/Satellite Programs (Agency)

FY 1970 Financial Program and FY 1971 Budget recommendations for  
[REDACTED] support of the Agency's portion of each of the CORONA, NRO 25X1A  
[REDACTED] satellites are also to be submitted to the Director, ext NRO 25X1A  
Program D, in accordance with the procedures established in DNRO [REDACTED] ext  
[REDACTED] dated 17 May 1968.

NRO 25X1A  
ext

NRO 25X1A ext

NRO 25X1A

ext

TOP SECRET

Fuel Oil and Gasoline Support/Satellite Programs (Agency)

FY 1970 Financial Program and FY 1971 Budget recommendations for Fuel Oil and Gasoline support for the Agency's portion of the [REDACTED] satellite are also to be submitted to the Director, Program D, in accordance with the procedures established in DNRO [REDACTED] dated 17 May 1968. NRO 25X1A

NRO 25X1A

NRO 25X1A